

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_ F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,781 09/15/2003		09/15/2003	Dov Steinberg	25705	7255
20529	7590	06/09/2005		EXAMINER	
NATH & . 1030 15th S			LAGMAN, FREDI	LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON	
6TH FLOC	•	Y YY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC	20005	3673		

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Annticont(a)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/661,781	STEINBERG, DOV				
	omee Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	7	Frederick L. Lagman	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 March 2005.					
		This action is non-final.					
3)[
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,13-24,27-30 and 32</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	☐ Claim(s) 10-12,25,26,31,33 and 34 is/are objected to.						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Amateu	Mal						
Attachmen	र(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗆 Internation A	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/11/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/661,781 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 13, 14, 15, 21-24, 27, 28, 29, 30, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quinn #5,252,001. Quinn discloses an array of elongate rods 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 connected to one another by a rigid connecting element 32 with their longitudinal axes extending coplanar. The array of elongate rods are capable of being bent into an open formation defined by a borderline of arcuate shape.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8, 9, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan '310 in view of Wilcox #5,707,172. Sullivan discloses the claimed invention except for the array being anchored and the deck. Wilcox teaches that it is known to anchor an array and to provide a deck 25 on top of a floating rod/tube as set forth at column 2, lines 7-13. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary

Art Unit: 3673

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a deck, as taught by Wilcox in order to provide a walkway on a floatable structure.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10-12, 25, 26, 31, 33, and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick L. Lagman whose telephone number is 571-272-7043. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Schackelford can be reached on 571-272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,781

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frederick L. Lagman

Page 4

Examiner Art Unit 3673

FLL